

**ABBREVIATED RESETTLEMENT ACTION PLAN**  
**SANKO RÜZGAR ENERJİSİ SAN. VE TİC. A.Ş.**

<b>1. Information About Project</b>	
Name & Location of Sub-project	HILAL-2 WPP is located in Center district of Karaman province.
Project Sponsor	SANKO RÜZGAR ENERJİSİ SAN. VE TİC. A.Ş.
Project Cost	10.550.539.- EUR
Installed Generation Capacity	3.3 x3 MW Total:9.9 MW
Key Dates of Implementation	Totally 24,32 ha forest area was used by SANKO RÜZGAR ENERJİSİ SAN. VE TİC. A.Ş. The delivery date of compensations paid for the lands which are used for the WPP is 2014.
Project Components& Land Requirements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Access road, including improvements to existing roads (km&amp;ha.): Transportation will be provided by existing roads and 3,3 km access road will be opened in addition.</i></li> <li>• <i>Transmission line corridor (km):15,33 km</i></li> </ul>

<b>2.Inventory of Land &amp; Assets Acquired from Private Owners (Completely Volunteer Purchased)(*)</b>	
Name of Owners/land user	The information about the names of the land owners (totally 9 person), amount of total and acquired areas, unit and total prices of each parcel, existing structures on the land if any and purchasing purpose of the land is given on HİLAL2 Land Acquisition Table.
Project Component: Area(s) / plots(s) acquired (ha)	4.482 ha land was volunteer purchased for the construction of the WPP.
Owner's/user's total land holding (ha); % taken for project.	The total area of the land used by the land owners was 0.473 ha. 10,5% of the total land was taken for the project. ( details are in the table)
Land use: pasture, agriculture, residence, etc.	These lands are dry farmlands. Mehmet Gür, Ömer Çoşkun and Mustafa Peker are using these lands, to plant chickpea and wheat. They can't grow any other crops because the lands are dry lands. After the purchase, 10,5% of these lands cannot be utilized for plantation, however on the rest of the lands company still lets the villagers do farming, without taking any fee. The owners of 706 and 704 parcels were not doing any farming on these lands before. They are living in Elmadağı village and, dealing with agriculture and animal husbandry on their other land.

Inventory of any structures or other fixed or productive assets (wells, fences, trees, field crops, etc) affected.	There were no structure, no fixed or productive assets on these lands. The lands are dry farmlands.
Indicate if land was rented or informally used by another party.	The lands were not rented; and they weren't used by another party.
Indicate if non-owner users had assets, trees, crops, etc affected	No non-owner user.
Indicate if land-based activity is primary source of income for owner or land user.	The owners of these lands are not making their living from these lands. They have other lands to do farming and they have other business to make their livings.
Compensation paid.	Totally 70.182 TL was paid to the land owners within the scope of voluntary purchase. The lands market price was 500TL/1000m2 but the company paid about 1.500TL/1000m2. Detailed information about compensations paid for each land owner is given on <b>Annex 1</b> on HİLAL-2 Land Acquisition Table.
Dates delivered.	All the payments were completed on 17.07.2014.
Impact on income of owner.	70.182 TL was paid to the land owners for voluntary purchasing of 4.482 ha. Detailed information about compensations paid for each land owner is given on <b>Annex 1</b> on HİLAL-2 Land Acquisition Table.

<b>2.1. Inventory of Land &amp; Assets Acquired from Private Owners</b>	
Name of Owners/land user	
Project Component: Area(s) / plots(s) acquired (ha)	
Owner's/user's total land holding (ha); % taken for project.	
Land use: pasture, agriculture, residence, etc.	
Inventory of any structures or other fixed or productive assets (wells, fences, trees, field crops, etc) affected.	
Indicate if land was rented or informally used by another party.	
Indicate if non-owner users had assets, trees, crops, etc affected	
Indicate if land-based activity is primary source of income for owner or land user.	
Compensation paid.	

Dates delivered.	
Impact on income of owner.	

3.Inventory of Public, Community, or State Land Acquired	
Land parcels / plots acquired (ha).	Forest area: 24,32 ha Total Area: 28,802 ha
Land type / land use: Forest, commons for grazing, other.	These lands are forestlands
Ownership: State, community, other. Structures or other fixed assets.	Ministry of Forestry and Water Affairs. There aren't any structures or fixed asset for public use.
Compensation, land transfer, or other measures to mitigate impacts on land users. Specify measures and dates of delivery.	12.140,38 TL was paid in 2014 for the land permit fee. Guarantee Amount: 29.704,17 TL

4.Consultations,Communications & Management of Grievances and Implementation Issues
<p><b><u>-General Information About Project and Project Area :</u></b></p> <p>HİLAL-2 WPP project (Sanko Enerji, the developer) has been constructed in Central District of Karaman. HİLAL-2 WPP site is located about 40 km to center of the Karaman Province and 60 km to center of Mut District, Mersin.The closest settlement to the project site is Cerit and Elmadağı Villages which are located to the south and north of the wind farm, respectively. The distance between the village and the closest wind turbine is approximately 2 km.</p> <p>HİLAL-2 WPP is comprised of three wind turbines with of 3.3MW installed capacity each to have a total capacity of 9.9 MWm (7 MWe) wind power plant. The turbine towers are placed approximately 250 m apart. By the Project, an estimated electricity generation of approximately 24,500 GWh per year will be accomplished, which will attain a total emission reduction of 13,900 tons of CO<sub>2</sub>e/year.</p> <p>The Project has triggered building a 15 km overhead power transmission line. The line extends towards Kepezkaya Transfer Station located in Bucakkışla Quarter of Karaman, to the west of the Site. The overhead line is 34.5 kV medium voltage line that follows the road sides.</p> <p><b><u>-Consultations,Communications &amp; Management of Grievances:</u></b></p> <p>The Project proponent has organized a stakeholder consultation meeting on May 05, 2015 and a stakeholder feedback round” between March 14 and August 14, 2015.</p>

Sending Invitation Letter to the Participants of Local Stakeholder Meeting Local community and international organizations were invited to the Stakeholder Feedback Round via emails and fax and telephone. Gold Standard local expert, supporter of Gold Standard Organizations i.e. WWF, Greenpeace Akdeniz, Helio International, REEEP, MERCYCOPRS were invited to comment about the project. Moreover, the participants that could have been invited to first meeting, if any, were invited to comment on the project. Local people of Elmadağı village, representative of distinct governorate of Karaman were all invited and informed about the project. As International NGOs, Gold Standard Organization, REEEP, HELIO International and MERCYCOPRS were invited by e-mail and requested for their comments on the project; however there was no response and comments from international NGOs.

The meeting was held in Elmadağı village which is the closest settlement to the project site. At the meeting, beside the project manager, participants from the villages and the Mukhtar of Elmadağı village were present.

During the public participation meeting on May 5, 2015, a non-technical project summary was provided to the participants. Project presentation was made by the project manager. Including information about project developers, technology and operation of the power plant, estimated emission reduction amount of the plant, the importance of revenue from emission reduction and the project characteristics which makes this project different from other power plant projects in Turkey. Before passing to blind sustainable development exercise, questions and comments were taken from participants about further clarifications on the project activities.

During and after description of the project some questions were raised by participants, which were answered by Project Manager and presenter. One of the most important topics raised during meeting was “will there be any local employment?” The concern of local people was answered by project manager. He stated that during the construction and the operation phase, they would definitely give priority to the employment of local people. He declared that they were working with a contracting company, who would do the employment planning. After all concerns of the locals were cleared, locals expressed their support for renewable energy project of Hilal-2 WPP. At the end of the meeting, continuous input mechanism was discussed and the book was given to the Muhtar of the Elmadağı village with a protocol. All local stakeholders agreed on this mechanism. E-mail addresses and phone numbers of project owner and consultant were announced. Local stakeholders were encouraged to give feedback about the project.

The meeting was closed by a general support from participants.

#### **Publication of Project Documents on Website.**

With publication of project documents, it is aimed that all invitees could reach the documents through internet access.

For this reason;

- ☐ Project PDD (in English),
- ☐ Project Summary (in Turkish and English),
- ☐ Project Gold Standard Passport (in English)

were made available on internet page as <http://bizden.lifenerji.com/genel/hilal-2-ruzgar-enerjisi-santraliprojesi/>

for more than two months to create opportunity for all stakeholders to reach documents. On the internet page there were different ways to directly comment to Gold Standard for project as;

- ☐ Via e-mailing to Esra Koç who is responsible to hold stakeholder consultation meetings
- ☐ Via Calling to Esra Koç who is responsible to hold stakeholder consultation meetings
- ☐ Via calling Head of Elmadağı village, which is the closest settlement to the project area (this way of commenting gave
- ☐ opportunity to stakeholders to comment freely and feel that their comments will be held by an independent party)

#### **Providing Project Documents as a Hard Copy to the Office of Head of Elmadağı Village for Neighbourhoods.**

Project documents were displayed and provided for stakeholders for more than two months as a hard copy in elected Head's Office of Elmadağı village, which was the easiest way for the access of anybody.

Later on June 2016, when preparing the EMP, the environmental consultant made meetings with mukhtars and few villagers of the two nearby villages. The report concluded in social terms that communities in the nearby villages were not disturbed by the Project and Sanko would maintain a grievance mechanism and continuous public relations with local people in order to ensure that the Project does not cause any discomfort or nuisance. Sanko would also contribute to the well-being of the communities with its corporate social responsibility, as well.



Meeting with Mukhtar of Cerit Village



Meeting with Mukhtar of Elmadağı Village